
IN THE APPEAL COURT OF GIBRALTAR



Appeal: 2017/CACRI/001

BETWEEN:



PHILIP ARUNDEL VAN DER WESTHUIZEN

APPELLANT

AND

HM ATTORNEY GENERAL GIBRALTAR

RESPONDENT

GROUNDS FOR APPEAL - DOCUMENT INDEX

Grounds for Appeal	
Page 27 of 'The Taking of the Stone of Destiny' by Ian Hamilton QC	Addendum A
Heraldic Symbolism of the Unicorn on the British Coat-of-Arms	Addendum B
Freemasons Control the Justice System	Addendum C
5 th Gibraltar Messenger Newsletter	Addendum D
8 th Gibraltar Messenger Newsletter	Addendum E
Appellant's Response to Respondent's Skeleton Arguments	ARRSA
Amicus Curiae Brief & Affidavit of Truth	ARRSA A
Sheffield High Court Writ	ARRSA B
On the Way to Emmaus Again	ARRSA C
Copy of Signed 1953 British Coronation Oath	ARRSA D
British Coronation Oath Procedure	ARRSA E
Page 90 of 'No Stone Unturned' by Ian Hamilton QC	ARRSA F
Page 139 of 'The Taking of the Stone of Destiny' by Ian Hamilton QC	ARRSA G
Judicial Recusal – The Law Society Gazette	ARRSA H
Revealed: Secret Report that shows Nazis Planned Fourth Reich in EU	ARRSA I
Philip Arundel van der Westhuizen – "Union of South Africa" Birth Certificate	ARRSA J
UK Border Agency - Acknowledgement of UK Born Grandparent	ARRSA K





BETWEEN:

PHILIP ARUNDEL VAN DER WESTHUIZEN

APPELLANT

AND

RECEIVED AT SUPREME COUKT ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR GIBRALTAR
REGISTRY COUNTER

RESPONDENT

1 2 JAN 2017

GROUNDS FOR APPEAL

Time.....

(PPELLANT'S RESPONSE TO "RESPONDENT'S SKELETON ARGUMENTS"
DATED 11/1/2017

In the Name of God/Allah Most Gracious, Most Merciful

We motion the court that our appeal in the Supreme Court to quash my conviction be granted, on the following grounds:-

1

1.1 There is no such law as that which I have been fraudulently convicted of. It is in fact not Law, but fraudulent legislation, and the Crown and Court are operating fraudulently, and thus have NO authority, or jurisdiction, to bring any charge against me that is not in accordance with The Law of God, that prohibits men from legislating, and, under which, there has to be a victim, and there is NO victim.

King of kings' Bible <u>JAHTruth.net/kofk-free/Bible</u> Deuteronomy 4:2 **Ye shall not ADD unto the word** which I command you, **neither shall ye diminish [ought] from it**, that ye may keep the **Commandments** of the "I AM" your God which I **COMMAND** you.

12:8 Ye shall **not** do after all [the things] that we do here this day, every man whatsoever [is] right in **his own eyes**.

12:32 What thing soever I command you, observe to do it: **thou shalt not add thereto**, **nor diminish from it**.

1.2 The Authority for the above statements is the Holy Bible containing God's Law and book of the Prophet Ezekiel chapter 21 verses 26-27 and the four overturns of the Throne of David - the British-Israel Throne – Jacob's Pillar Stone - the Stone of Destiny.

Ezekiel 21:26 Thus saith the Lord "I AM"; Remove the diadem (sovereignty), and take off the crown: this [shall] not [be] the same: exalt [him that is] low (Line of Zarah), and abase [him that is] high (Line of Pharez).

21:27 I will overturn, overturn, it: and it shall be no [more], [overturned] UNTIL he come whose Right it is; and I will give it [him – Shiloh-Christ (Gen. 49:10)].

Genesis 49:10 The sceptre shall not depart from Judah [to Joseph], nor a law-giver from between his feet, **UNTIL** Shiloh comes [from Joseph-Ephraim v 22-24]; and unto him [shall] the gathering of the people [be].

49:22 Joseph [is] a fruitful bough, [even] a fruitful bough by a well; [whose] branches run over the wall:

49:23 The archers have sorely grieved him, and shot [at him], and hated him:

49:24 But his bow abode in strength, and the arms of his hands were made strong by the hands of the mighty [God] of Jacob; (from **THERE** [is] The Shepherd, The [Corner] Stone of Israel:)(1 Cor. 10:4; Daniel 2:34-5; 45)

- 1.3 Elizabeth Battenberg's dad, King George the 6th was **distraught**, because he **KNEW** that the removal of the Stone of Destiny from Westminster Abbey in 1950, by those four Scots' heroes; Matheson, Hamilton, Vernon and Stewart, with God's help; without which they could not possibly have succeeded in taking it; meant the end of George the 6th's dynasty.
 - ARRSA G
- 1.4 The Archbishop of Canterbury screamed at the nation that sacrilege had been committed, but king George and his family knew the Bible prophecies about the Stone of Destiny / Jacob's Pillar Stone, which is the real British-Israel Throne and Throne of David, better than the archbishop, who obviously did not realise the significance of the removal.

ARRSA F

1.5 George the 6th and his family KNEW that the fourth and final overturn of the Stone-Throne to Shiloh-Christ, its Rightful and Lawful owner, had been made, and that his daughter Elizabeth and her heirs **were no longer royalty**, and could now **never** be lawfully crowned.

1.6 Elizabeth and her dad king George knew, with the removal of the Stone, in 1950 on **Christ**mas **Day**, that she could not be lawfully crowned, because, God having removed the Stone-Throne from them on **Christ**mas Day **confirmed** the prophesied fourth and final overturn, and that Christ had already come again, and that one day Christ would make His presence and new identity known, and claim His Rightful Throne, which He did in 1988. He did it by issuing a High Court Writ, demanding that the UK Parliament acknowledge Him as King, which they foolishly rejected; by quoting their fraudulent legislation to Him, as justification for their rejection of His rightful claim.

ARRSA B

- 1.7 The Gibraltarians must not make the same fatal mistake, because: It is God, NOT men, Who decides who the Lawful Monarch is, and sits upon His Throne: the Stone of Destiny Coronation Stone.
- 1.8 King David the psalmist, whose throne the Stone of Destiny was, wrote in Psalm 2 his advice to you:-
 - 2:1 Why do the unenlightened rage, and the people imagine a futile thing? 2:2 The kings of the earth set themselves, and the rulers take counsel together, against the "I AM", and against His Anointed, (2 Esd. 13:34) [saying],
 - 2:3 Let us break Their bonds asunder, and cast away Their cords from us. 2:4 He that sitteth in the heavens shall laugh: the Lord shall make fools of them.
 - 2:5 Then shall He speak unto them in His wrath, and terrify them in His furv.
 - 2:6 Yet have I set My King (to govern Isa. 9:6-7) upon My Holy Hill of Gathering (Isa. 33:16; 2 Esd. 13:35).
 - 2:7 I will declare the decree: the "I AM" hath said unto me, Thou [art] My Son; this day have I created for thee.
 - 2:8 Ask of Me, and I shall give [thee] the unenlightened [for] thine inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the earth [for] thy possession.
 - 2:9 Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron; thou shalt dash them in pieces like a potter's vessel (Rev. 12:5).
 - 2:10 Be wise now therefore, 0 ye kings: **be instructed, ye judges** of the earth.
 - 2:11 Serve the "I AM" with fear, and rejoice with trembling.
 - 2:12 **Kiss My Son**, lest he be angry, and ye perish [from] **The Way**, when his wrath is kindled just a little (Luke 19:27). **Blessed** [are] all they that put their **trust** in **him**.

- 1.9 The arguable point of Law is that the legislation is fraudulent, and the pretended judges had no Lawful authority to hear this case, because the judges' alleged authority comes from QE2, Mrs Battenberg, who is not our Lawful Monarch and never has been. Her jurisdiction and the jurisdiction of the Crown, the Courts and the judges has persistently been challenged and ignored, and the request for an independent, impartial jury has been persistently refused, as a direct result of the built-in bias in this case, and others.
- 1.10 The battle between Christ on His Second-Coming and Satan and his minions, like Elizabeth Battenberg and her functionaries and supporters, has been going on for a number of years.
- 1.11 This life or death struggle for us, and our souls has manifested itself in this case.
- 1.12 This Supreme Court of Gibraltar comes under God's Jurisdiction, not Mrs. Battenberg's.
- 1.13 The fact is that Christ is here, in a new human body, that was born on 22/04/1948, EXACTLY as prophesied in the Holy Bible JAHTruth.net/emmau2.htm

ARRSA C

The onus is not on me to prove to you that JAH is Christ. I have given you the evidence that proves He is.

So the onus is on you to prove that He is not.

1.14 How can it possibly be measured where the judges' loyalties lie, regarding how impartial they really are, when they work for the fraudulent crown that pays their wages?

"For the wages of sin [is] DEATH; but the gift of God [is] eternal Life through Jesus Christ our Lord." (Romans 6:23)

The fact that the above has been said should quite simply be enough.

- 1.15 A judge **must step down** in circumstances where there appears to be bias, or apparent bias.
- 1.16 The judicial recusal is **not then a matter of discretion**, the test for determining apparent bias is this: if a fair minded honest observer considered the facts, would conclude that there was a real **possibility**, that the judge is biased, **the judge must recuse himself**. See POTER v

- Magul (2002) 2 AC 357 at (102) that test is to be applied having regard to all the circumstances of the case.
- 1.17 "To maintain society's trust and confidence, justice must not only be done but be seen to be done." (www.lawgazette.co.uk/judicial-recusal/5038104.fullarticle)

 ARRSA H
- 1.18 It is quite clear what is supposedly being seen to be done, and what is actually being done are two different things.
- 1.19 From the start, my lawful arguments have not been considered. On the surface you have listened very politely to all the arguments, and then ignored them, because you cannot refute them.
- 1.20 Not once have you taken my message of truth and The Law into consideration in judgement. You have run from, and continue to run from, the truth and The Law.
- 1.21 As I have previously pointed out, because of your treason against God and Christ, THE Lord of lords has entered into judgement of the princes of this land.
- 1.22 The prophet Mohammed, peace be upon him, said that the Christ would come again.
- 1.23 Christ during His first coming said that when he returned, He would come like a thief in the night, and He has.
- 1.24 The "I AM", the Lord God of British Israel has heard His people's cry.
- 1.25 All the way through this case you have been biased against and ignored what has been said and submitted.
- 1.26 From the very beginning of this case you have hardened your hearts against The Lord of lord's Law, and His orders.
- 1.27 I even have the written skeleton argument where the prosecutor admits, before the whole world, that she is deliberately ignoring copious amounts of evidence and The Law, that the monarch swears to maintain to the utmost of their power, that ALL judges swore the Judge's Oath to serve.
- 1.28 In any case any authorities referred to by the prosecution are fraudulent and of no effect, because the ONLY authority is God's Law in The Holy Bible, that the monarch swears at their coronation to maintain to the UTMOST of their power. Especially now that Christ our Rightful and ARRSA D&E

Lawful King is here again, and The Law must be glorified, as can be seen by The Lord's LAWFUL Judgement in His open letter, amicus curie brief and Affidavit of Truth, dated 27/06/16, stamped by the court on 28/06/16 attached.

ARRSA A

2

- 2.1 The judges work for the fraudulent Crown and therefore cannot be Impartial, because of the oath of allegiance, which fake judges swear to the fake queen Mrs Battenberg, also known by the criminal alias QE2, and they are duty-bound to protect her straight away from any threat they perceive to her authority, and thus their own authority.
- 2.2 The Bias reflects itself in the way I have been treated by this court: as all the evidence I have provided has been ignored, because it cannot be refuted.
- 2.3 Which includes the fact of my body's British birth, with the British "Union of South Africa" appearing in both its birth certificate and the 1953 ARRSA J&K Coronation Oath, and several other proofs, including that my mother and granddad were British, that I presented before, so I should have been ARRSA D given a British passport when I requested it, and I should be treated like a British citizen.
- 2.4 The prosecution has desperately clung onto quoting "legal" and thus unlawful arguments, refusing to acknowledge the evidence that proves Elizabeth is not the lawful monarch, and thus they have no authority, in their attempt to steal money from me, like common thieves.
- 2.5 Well did Jesus call you whited sepulchres, full of all corruption.
 - Matthew 23:27 Woe unto you, lawyers and politicians, hypocrites! for ye are like unto whited sepulchres, which indeed appear beautiful outward, but are within full of dead [men's] bones, and of all filthiness/corruption.
- 2.6 "Deuteronomy 17:15 Thou shalt in any wise set [him] kng over thee, whom the "I AM" thy God shall choose: [one] from among thy brethren shalt thou set king over thee: thou mayest not set a stranger (a gentile) over thee, which [is] not thy brother."

- 2.7 It is God, NOT men, Who decides who the Lawful Monarch is, and sits upon His Throne.
- 2.8 In addition the challenge is to Mrs. Battenberg's Jurisdiction and Sovereignty, on the grounds that she is not the Lawful monarch and never has been.
- 2.9 Case reference T20107746 at Southwark

Crown Court, defendant John Anthony Hill provided irrefutable evidence before a British court, where the pretended judge, like in our case, also refused to let an independent, impartial jury decide that Elizabeth is not the Lawful Monarch and never has been, which irrefutable evidence was unlawfully ignored by the pretended judge Jeffrey Vincent Pegden, who's jurisdiction had been lawfully challenged.

2.10 This is obviously the fraudulent judges' standard operating procedure, when faced with evidence they cannot refute, that proves they have no jurisdiction, and that Mrs. Battenberg is not the lawful monarch.

3

3.1 All the paperwork we submitted has been ignored. This paperwork was delivered by hand to the court, submitted and been stamped in the usual accepted manner. This paperwork, and the affidavit of Christ The King of Gibraltar containing points of LAW (attached), has been ignored.

ARRSA A

- 3.2 The points of Law raised in all the paperwork, which was submitted, concerned the points of Law, that no one, including the pretended judges could refute.
- 3.3 The points of law raised, raise issues of grave public, national and world importance, which therefore must be considered by the Supreme Court.
- 3.4 Incidentally the death sentences, that we are **ALL** under, have not been revoked. Gibraltar and the rest of the British Israelite nations will be defeated in war, and two (2) **Billion** people will **die**. Then the real Stone of Destiny will be brought out for JAH, Christ on His second coming, if not done voluntarily beforehand.
- 3.5 We came here in peace, sent by God/Allah with a message of peace, for Gibraltar, British Israel, and the rest of the planet.

- 3.6 How could you possibly be opposed to Christ on his Second-Coming, unless you are criminally insane and working for Satan.
- 3.7 We have got a great chance here to stop WW3 and billions of deaths, and become a light to the world, by the immediate recognition of Christ as our King.
- 3.8 Our Wonderful King, The Prince of Peace will reinstate The Law and declare the Jubilee; the land will be redistributed along with all the wealth; our debts will be forgiven; including our mortgages, credit cards, rent-arrears, and all other forms of debt slavery and usury will be abolished, as He will be implementing God's Law and not Satan's manmade legislation.
- 3.9 We will live under King Christ and The Law; we will know peace and Gibraltar will become God's Kingdom, and not be part of the fascist Nazi-EU Fourth Reich! www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1179902/Revealed-The-secret-report-shows-Nazis-planned-Fourth-Reich--EU.html

ARRSA I

Long Live The Fighters for God/good, Christ JAH Muad Dib

Long Live King Christ,

Philip Arundel van der Westhuizen

Date: 12/01/17

ARRSA A-1

2 8 JUN 2016

In the Name of God, Most Gracious Most Merciful.

AN OPEN LETTER & AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF

To ALL the people who are acting as judges in Gibraltar.

The attached affidavit is presented to the court/s as an Amicus Curiae Brief, in the case against Philip Arundel van der Westhuizen and ALL other cases brought before EVERY court in Gibraltar.

I most strongly recommend that it be taken **extremely** seriously, especially since the Brexit.

The Brexit explained in a nutshell:-

Revelation/Apocalypse 18:4 And I heard another voice from heaven, saying, COME OUT of her, MY people, that ye take not part in her sins, and that ye receive not of her plagues (punishment).

18:5 For her sins have reached unto heaven, and God hath remembered her inequities.

Date:

27th June 2016.

Signed:

Christ - King of Gibraltar. (JAHTruth.net/britca.htm)





Affidavit of Truth



Statement of Facts

My name is Crown Prince Michael, also known as Archangel Michael, and the Christ/ Messiah, and I am older than this world (John 17:5), but the human body that I am inhabiting, on this my Second Coming incarnated into a human, inside a new body from the Tribe of Joseph-Ephraim, exactly as prophesied in the Holy Bible, is 68 years of age.

Genesis 49:22 Joseph [is] a fruitful bough, [even] a fruitful bough by a well; [whose] branches run over the wall:

49:23 The archers have sorely grieved him, and shot [at him], and hated him: 49:24 But his bow abode in strength, and the arms of his hands were made strong by the hands of the mighty [God] of Jacob; (from **THERE** [is] The Shepherd, The [Corner] Stone of Israel:)(1 Cor. 10:4; Daniel 2:34-5; 45).

Not from Judah, like the previous incarnation.

I am the **lawful** owner of this entire world, and I own it and **everything**pertaining to it, including all the human bodies that I created, and the

Beings/Souls locked within them, who are my condemned **prisoners** on this

prison-planet. http://thewayhomeorfacethefire.net

The following quotes from the Holy Bible and Holy Koran are **proof** of the **fact** that I own **everything**.

- 1. Exodus 9:29 And Moses said unto him, As soon as I am gone out of the city, I will spread abroad my hands unto the "I AM"; [and] the thunder shall cease, neither shall there be any more hail; that thou mayest know how that the earth [is] the "I AM"'s.
- 2. Exodus 19:5 Now therefore, if ye will obey My voice indeed, and keep My Covenant, then ye shall be a peculiar treasure unto Me above all people: for all the earth [is] Mine:
- 3. Deuteronomy 10:14 Behold, the heaven and the heaven of heavens [is] the "I AM"'s thy God, the earth [also], with all that therein [is].
- 4. Joshua 3:11 Behold, the Ark of the Covenant of the Lord of all the earth passeth over before you into Jordan.
- 5. Psalm 24:1 <A Psalm of David.> The earth [is] the "I AM"'s, and the fullness thereof; the world, and they that dwell therein.
- 6. Psalm 47:2 For the "I AM" Most High [is] terrible; [He is] a great King over all the earth.
- 7. Psalm 50:12 If I were hungry, I would not tell thee: for the world [is] Mine, and the fullness thereof.
- 8. Psalm 89:11 The heavens [are] Thine, the earth also [is] Thine: [as for] the world and the fullness thereof, Thou hast founded them.
- 9. Haggai 2:8 The silver [is] Mine, and the gold [is] Mine, saith the "I AM" Lord of hosts.

- 10. Zechariah 14:9 And the "I AM" shall be King over all the earth: in that Day shall there be one "I AM", and His name One [not a trinity].
- 11. 1 Corinthians 10:26 For the earth [is] the Lord's, and the fullness thereof.
- 10:28 But if any man say unto you, This is offered in sacrifice unto idols, eat not for his sake that showed it, and for conscience sake: for the earth [is] the Lord's, and the fullness thereof:
- 12. Sura 46:61. And (Christ the Mahdi) shall be a sign (for the coming of) the hour (of judgement). Therefore have no doubt about the (hour), but follow ye Me: this is the straight way.
- 13. Sura 16:52. To Him belongs whatever is in the heavens and on the earth, and to Him is duty due always: then will ye fear other than The I AM?
- 14. Sura 20:6 To Him belongs what is in the heavens and on earth, and all between them, and all beneath the soil.
- 15. Sura 23:88. Say. "Who is it in whose hands is the governance of all things, who protects (all) but is not protected by any)? Say if ye know'.
- 23:89 They will say, "(It belongs) to 'I AM'." Say: "Then how are ye deluded?"
- 16. Sura 42:4 To Him belongs all that is in the heavens and on the earth: and He is Most High, Most Great.
- 17. Sura 42:53. The way of The 'I AM', to Whom belongs whatever is in the heavens and whatever is on earth. Behold (how) all affairs tend towards, 'I AM'.

I have given Philip van der Westhuizen **my** permission to live in **my** Kingdom of Gibraltar, and assist in educating the people of Gibraltar about their future, and that they must return to keeping **My Law**, in **my** Kingdom of Gibraltar.

I have **NOT** given permission for the formation of **ANY** political party, because they are **prohibited** in The Law, nor have I given permission for them to use any of **my** property.

Deuteronomy 5:32 Ye shall observe to do therefore as the "I AM" your God hath commanded you: ye shall not turn aside to the right hand (GSD) or to the left (GSLP, etc.).

5:33 Ye shall walk in all the ways which the "I AM" your God hath commanded you, that ye may live, and [that it may be] well with you, and [that] ye may prolong [your] days in the land which ye shall possess.

Matthew 12:25 And Jesus knew their thoughts, and said unto them, Every kingdom divided against itself is brought to desolation; and every city or house divided against itself shall not stand:

The people who have the **audacity** to call themselves lords, and thus falsely claim **my** title, and allow others to blasphemously call them lord or your lordship, in direct contravention of my instructions in the Book of **Judges**, the Book of Isaiah and the Gospel of Matthew, are **not** lords, **nor** judges, but are frauds and have no authority to judge anyone, or decide any matter.

Judges 2:11 And the children of Israel did evil in the sight of the "I AM", and served **lords**:

2:12 And they forsook the "I AM" God of their fathers, which brought them out of the land of Egypt, and followed other gods, of the gods of the people that [were] round about them, and bowed themselves unto them, and provoked the "I AM" to anger.

8:33 And it came to pass, as soon as Gideon was dead, that the children of Israel turned again, and went a whoring after **lords**, and agreed to make **lords** their gods.

8:34 And the children of Israel remembered not the "I AM" their God, Who had delivered them out of the hands of all their enemies on every side:

10:6 And the children of Israel did evil again in the sight of the "I AM", and served **lords**, and Ashtaroth, and the gods of Syria, and the gods of Zidon, and the gods of Moab, and the gods of the children of Ammon, and the gods of the Philistines, and forsook the "I AM", and served not Him.

10:10 And the children of Israel cried unto the "I AM", saying, We have sinned against Thee, both because we have forsaken our God, and also served **lords**.

Isaiah 42:8 I [am] the LORD: that [is] My name: and My glory I will not give to another, neither My praise to graven images.

2:11 The lofty looks of man shall be humbled, and the haughtiness of men shall be bowed down, and the "I AM" alone shall be exalted in that day.

2:12 For the day of the "I AM" Lord of hosts [shall be] upon every [one that is] proud and lofty, and upon every [one that is] lifted up; and he shall be brought low:

5:24 Therefore as the fire devoureth the stubble, and the flame consumeth the chaff, [so] their root shall be as rottenness, and their blossom shall go up as dust: because **they have cast away The Law of the "I AM" Lord of hosts**, and despised the Word of the Holy One of Israel.

Matthew 23:12 And whosoever shall exalt himself shall be abased; and he that shall humble himself shall be exalted.

The people who call themselves judges are doing so fraudulently, for the following factual reasons:-

- They have sworn to serve a criminal who falsely claims to be a queen, as proven in my Challenge Document and Exhibits that are downloadable from (http://JforJustice.net/challenge; http://JforJustice.net/download/Challenge.zip) and thus, like her, have committed and are committing fraud, and fraud vitiates everything. Therefore their Judge's Oaths are ultra vires and null and void, and they are fraudulently impersonating judges.
- In swearing to serve this criminal usurper of my Throne, they have broken the First Great Commandment to serve ONLY God, and ludicrously and foolishly asked God to help them break His Own First Commandment, thus also nullifying their Judge's Oath (Mark 12:29-30).
- 3. They are breaking The Law, by impersonating judges and administering fraudulent legislation that is **prohibited** by The Law (Deuteronomy 4:2;

- 12:32), and making and administering false judgements and sentences in contravention of The Law.
- 4. They are refusing to administer **The Law, Judgements and Sentences** that are **commanded** by The Law, of which the refusal to do so is a capital offence carrying the death-penalty, under The Law that the Monarch swears to maintain to the **utmost** of their power, in the Coronation Oath.

Deuteronomy 17:10 And thou shalt do according to The Sentence, which they of that place which the "I AM" shall choose shall show thee; and thou shalt observe to do according to all that they inform thee:

17:11 According to The Sentence of The Law which they shall teach thee, and according to the Judgment which they shall tell thee, thou shalt do: thou shalt not decline to do The Sentence which they shall show thee, and turn not away from it [to] the right hand, nor [to] the left.

17:12 And the man that will do presumptuously, and will not hearken unto the priest that standeth to minister there before the "I AM" thy God, or unto the judge, even that man shall die: and [thus] thou shalt put away the evil from Israel.

17:13 And all the people shall hear, and fear, and do no more presumptuously (in thinking they are a law unto themselves).

- 5. The pretended judges and their fraudulent queen are therefore guilty of capital crimes, and under The Law should all be executed.
- 6. No lawbreaker can be a law-enforcer.

Therefore they are neither lords nor judges, but **are criminals** impersonating judges, and have **NO** authority or jurisdiction to order anyone to do anything, and have **NO** authority to give any order to have my Disciple Philip Arundel van der Westhuizen removed from Gibraltar, because he has **my** permission to be in **my** Kingdom of Gibraltar, for the purpose I have expressed herein.

The people who falsely claim to be judges, and **everyone** who refuses to repent, kneel and swear allegiance to, and to obey my Father/God The Ruler of The Universe and me His Eldest Son their Rightful King, and keep Our Law, will spend eternity in The Lake of Fire and Brimstone, regretting their refusal to do so.

I therefore strongly advise you to humble yourselves, repent, swear allegiance to my Father and me, and serve Us and help Us to reinstate The Perfect Royal Law of Liberty, for the good of everyone. If you want me to give my authority to act as judges in **my** Kingdom, you must first swear as outlined above, and to reestablish and administer **ONLY** My Law.

Isaiah 42:21 The "I AM" is well pleased for His Righteousness' sake; He will magnify **The Law**, and make [it] honourable (Deut. 33:21). Now read and digest Psalm 2:10-12, and pay heed to and **obey** it.

I do solemnly swear and affirm before my Father, Almighty God, that the foregoing is the truth, so help me Father/God, Your Eldest Son and heir.

Date: 27th June 2016.

Signed: Christ - King of Gibraltar. (JAHTruth.net/britca.htm)



, ARRSA B

Writ indorsed with Statement of Claim [Liquidated Demand] (0.6, r. 1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

1988 .- C .- No. 2149

Queen's Bench Division

Sheffield

District Registry]



Between

The CHRIST

Plaintiff

AND

Parliament

(for members see attached list.)

Defendant

(1) Insert name.

To the Defendant (1)

Parliament,

(2) Insert address. Of (2)

Houses of Parliament, Westminster, London.

This Writ of Summons has been issued against you by the above-named Plaintiff in respect of the claim set out on the back.

Within 14 days after the service of this Writ on you, counting the day of service, you must either satisfy the claim or return to the Court Office mentioned below the accompanying **Acknowledgment of Service** stating therein whether you intend to contest these proceedings.

If you fail to satisfy the claim or to return the Acknowledgment within the time stated, or if you return the Acknowledgment without stating therein an intention to contest the proceedings, the Plaintiff may proceed with the action and judgment may be entered against you forthwith without further notice.



(3) Complete and delete as necessary.

Issued from the (3) [General Office) [

Sheffield

District Registry]

of the High Court this

13 th.

day of

J.UNE

1988.

NOTE:—This Writ may not be served later than 12 calendar months beginning with that date unless renewed by order of the Court.

IMPORTANT

Directions for Acknowledgment of Service are given with the accompanying form.

On The Way to Emmaus Again (Scripture explained again at the end of the 20th Century) by JAH

God states in Genesis 49:22-24 (king James A.V. of The Bible) that **from Joseph** will come **The Shepherd** - The **Stone** of Israel **NOT** from the Jews, as is **clearly stated** in Genesis chapter 49:-

49:10 The sceptre shall **not** depart from Judah [to Joseph], nor a Law-giver from between his feet, **UNTIL** Shiloh comes [from Joseph-Ephraim verses 22-24]; and unto him [shall] the gathering of the people [be]. 49:22 **Joseph** [is] a fruitful bough, [even] a fruitful bough by a well; [whose] branches run over the wall (colonization):

49:23 The archers have sorely grieved him, and shot [at him], and hated him: (see my "4 Horsemen of the

Apocalypse" Booklet – http://jahtruth.net/horse.htm)

49:24 But his bow abode in strength, and the arms of his hands were made strong by the hands of the mighty [God] of Jacob; (from there [is] The Shepherd, The [Corner] Stone of Israel:)(Daniel 2:34-5; 45)

The "Stone" has a twofold interpretation, meaning Jacob's Pillar-Stone (Lia Fail) which is the Throne of Israel but also meaning The King of Israel himself as well as the Throne.

The King of Israel, **the Good Shepherd**, the Corner-stone, Shiloh, the Messiah, the Christ is referred to throughout Scripture as the "Stone of Israel" (Psalm 118 and Matthew 21:42-46).

In the Book of Daniel in the Bible, the Stone, that is cut out (*shaped*) without (*human*) hands, which smites the (image) statue as seen by the king of **Babylon** in his dream, knocks it down and grinds it into powder and it then blows away in the wind, that same "Stone" (Christ) then grows into a huge "Mountain" (*codeword* for Government) that fills **the whole earth**.

Daniel 2:31 Thou, O king, (Nebuchadnezzar) sawest, and behold a great image. This great image, whose brightness [was] excellent, stood before thee; and the form thereof [was] terrible.

2:32 This image's head [was] of fine gold, his breast and his arms of silver, his belly and his thighs of brass,

2:33 His legs of iron, his feet part of iron and part of clay.

2:34 Thou sawest till that a "Stone" was cut out without hands, which smote the image upon his feet [that were] of iron and clay, and brake them (the successive empires - Rev. 6:13-17) to pieces.

2:35 Then was the iron, the clay, the brass, the silver, and the gold, broken to pieces together, and became like the chaff of the summer **threshingfloors**; and the wind carried them away, that **no** place was found for **them**: and the "**Stone**" that smote the image became a great "mountain", and filled the whole earth.

The "Stone" is a Diamond - no other stone is indestructible and can grind metal to powder or reflect and give out Light of great beauty and intensity like Christ (the Light of the world).

So there we have it. **God says** in verses 10 and 22-24 of Genesis chapter 49 that the Messiah will come **from Joseph, not** Judah/Jew-dah (**the Jews**).

However, to be **technically correct**, it should say from **Ephraim** because Jacob-Israel gave **his Birthright** (the birthright of **Israel**) to Joseph's son **Ephraim**, not to Joseph; as recorded in Genesis 48:16:-

48:16 The Angel which redeemed me (Jacob-Israel) from all evil, bless **the lads**; and let my name [**Israel**] be named on **them** (let **Ephraim** and **Manasseh** [not Judah - the Jews] be called **Israel**), and the name of my fathers Abraham and Isaac (I-saac's sons); and let them grow into a multitude in the midst of the earth. 48:17 And when Joseph saw that his father laid his **right** hand upon the head of **Ephraim**, it displeased him: and he held up his father's hand, to remove it from Ephraim's head unto Manasseh's head. 48:18 And Joseph said unto his father, Not so, my father: for this [is] the firstborn; put thy **right** hand

upon his head.

48:19 And his father **refused**, and said, I know [it], my son, I know [it]: he also shall become a people, and he also shall be great: but truly his younger brother (**Ephraim**) shall be greater than he, and his seed shall become a multitude (**Commonwealth**) of nations.

48:20 And he blessed them that day, saying, In thee [Joseph] shall **Israel** bless, saying, God make **thee** as Ephraim and as Manasseh: and he set **Ephraim** before Manasseh.

So why did God use the word **Joseph** instead of the word Ephraim?

It was to give a **clue** to the **exact** location that the body used by the **Messiah/Christ/Mahdi** would be born.

The descendants of Joseph's son Ephraim are the English so we now know in which **COUNTRY** the **human** body to be **used** by the Spirit-**Being** known as the **Christ** would be **born** but that is **not** precise **enough** for **God**. He therefore gave the **clue** to the **exact** location by using the word **Joseph**.

The descendants of Ephraim's brother, Manasseh, are the English's brother nation, the Anglo-Saxons (I-saac's sons) of America.

How does the word Joseph tell us exactly where the new body from Joseph-Ephraim would be born?

Joseph was **famous** for his [God given] **dreams**; his Coat of Many (12) "Colours" and his ability to interpret the [God given] dreams of others, which included the dreams of the Pharaoh (king) of Egypt. It was due to Joseph's interpretation of the Pharaoh's dreams that he saved the Egyptians from death and became Pharaoh's second in command. Pharaoh ruled the known world at that time, so Joseph became the second most powerful man on earth.

But **how** does this **help us** to **locate** the **birthplace** of the **new** body that is being used by the Messiah/Christ/Mahdi **today**?

Joseph's own **most** famous dream; which was **the reason** why his brothers, who fathered the **other eleven** tribes of Israel, including his brother Judah, progenitor of the Jews, sold him into slavery in Egypt; was his dream about his brother's sheaves of corn bowing down to his sheaf of corn in his Sheaf-Field (Genesis 37:3-8).

As a punishment, for having sold Joseph into slavery in Egypt, his brother's children went into slavery in Egypt for 400 years, until set free in the **Exodus** with Moses. God says, through Paul's letter to the Galatians 6:7 "Be not deceived; God is **not** mocked: for **whatsoever** a man soweth, **THAT** shall he also reap."

But that is another extremely important story; lesson and Law.

Joseph was famous for his dream of the Sheaf-Field, so what does that tell us about **where** the body to be **used** by the Christ or Shiloh (*which means* "*Resting-place*" - *see Matthew* 11:28) as he is called in Genesis 49:10 was to be born?

There is, today, a **CITY** in the land of Ephraim (England) that bears the name **Sheaf-Field** which has been, over the centuries, shortened to **Sheffield**.

The Scriptures do not leave things there. That would **not** be accurate **enough** for **God**. We are told, by **Jesus** himself, in what **YEAR** the birth of this **new body** would take place.

The disciples asked Christ, the Being within the human body called Jesus, when he (Christ) would come again and what would be **the sign**?

Christ replied that when they saw the **Fig Tree** (Matt. 21:19-21) put forth **new shoots**, and begin to grow again, they would **know** that "**Summer**" (the new age - Sabbath Millennium) was near (Matt. 24:32), after 6 millennia - (6,000 years) of Satan's **mis-rule**, known as "**Winter**".

How does this tell us what YEAR the birth would take place?

The **Fig Tree** that was **cursed** and **withered** before the Disciples eyes because it brought forth **no fruit**, unto God, was the Jews. The "**Fig Tree**" is the symbol of the Jews in Scripture. Jesus cursed the Fig Tree and it **withered away**, symbolizing what was going to happen to the Jews **for rejecting** their rightful King.

The Jews were **kicked out** of Palestine, had **no homeland** and were persecuted for **2000 years** as God's punishment for **rejecting**, **persecuting** and **murdering** their **Rightful King** and rejecting the New Covenant referred to in Ezekiel 34, culminating in the **Holocaust** under Adolf Hitler (the **Anti-Christ** - **666** - who was **blessed by the Pope** and called "the envoy of God").

The **Fig Tree** then began to awaken from its **withered** state and began to put forth **new shoots** in Palestine on **22/4/1948** when the Jewish State in Israel was set up and David Ben-Gurion announced in the Museum Hall in Tel-Aviv to the world, under the umbrella of the United Nations, that the Jews had established a **Jewish State** in the land of **Israel** on 14th May **1948**. From **that** year the desolate, cursed land began, through irrigation, to flourish and put forth **new shoots**, with immigration of Jews from all over the world, the "**Fig Tree**" revived and put forth **new shoots**, but will **never bear fruit** (for God - Matthew 21:19).

So now we have the **COUNTRY** of birth, the **CITY** of birth; the **YEAR** and **EXACT DATE** of the birth of the **new body** to be used by the Christ - 22/4/1948.

Jesus told us also that he would not for a generation (40 years according to the Holy Scriptures), claim his **Rightful Throne** - the Throne of David upon whom would be sitting a descendant of king David, as **promised** to David by God, **testified** to in the Bible in 2 Samuel 7:16 and 1 kings 2:4 and 9:5 and again in Jeremiah 33:17 "For thus saith the "**I AM**"; David shall never want a man (descendant) to sit upon the throne of the House of Israel." (until)

The word Brit-ish is **Hebrew** and means "the man or People of The Covenant" in other words "the **True** People Israel" and the "**House of Israel**".

Elizabeth the Second is a direct descendant of David as have been all of the British-Israel monarchs since David himself, backwards in time through all of the English kings, preceded by the Scottish kings, preceded by the Irish kings, preceded by king Zedekiah of Jerusalem and all the kings back to David himself. They have all been crowned sitting upon the **Throne of David**, which is the "Stone of Destiny" - **Jacob**'s Pillar-Stone now **wrongly** called the "Stone of Scone", except for Elizabeth the Second because she became the monarch **AFTER 1948**, when Christ had **already** returned. God would **not** allow her **or anyone** except Christ himself; to be crowned upon that Stone; whilst His oldest Son, Prince (St.) Michael, **The Rightful King**, Christ is upon the Earth, incarnated inside a **new** human English body.

Daniel 12:1 And at that time shall Michael [the Archangel] (Eno. 20:5; 36:1; 40:8; 58:1; 59:9; 57:1-2; 70:4; Rev.12:1; Sura 2:98) stand up, the Great Prince which standeth for **the children** of thy people: and there shall be a **time of trouble**, such as never was since there was a nation [even] to that same time: and at that time thy people shall be delivered, every one that shall be found written in the Book [of Life] (Rev. 13:8; 17:8; 20:15; 21:27; Sura 83:20).

Matthew 24:21 For then shall be great tribulation (oppression), such as was not since the beginning of the world to this time....

Daniel 10:21 But I will show thee that which is noted in the **Scripture of Truth**: and [there is] **NONE** that holdeth with me in these things, **EXCEPT** Michael your prince (Eno. 67:1; Rev. 5:3; 5; 9 & 12:7).

To prevent anyone, other than Christ, from being crowned upon the Stone of Destiny, God got four Scottish Nationalists to remove the Stone from Westminster Abbey and take it back to Scotland, on 25th of December (Christ-mas Day - *clue*) in 1950.

On April the 11th in 1951 the Scottish Nationalists left a stone at Arbroath Abbey, to be given to England. When George the Sixth died and Elizabeth was crowned in June of 1953, she was crowned upon that stone, but it was **not the real Stone**.

The original stone has a crack in it and it appears that whilst making a forgery, that also would have to have

a crack in it in order to look genuine, the stone broke in two. During repair a copy of the "Scottish Declaration of Independence" was sandwiched in between.

Years later it was reported in the press that, upon his death-bed, one of the Scottish Nationalists who had removed the Stone from Westminster Abbey, confessed to having taken the Stone and that they had sent Elizabeth a **fake** stone. People on their death-bed have **no** reason to lie and **every** reason to tell the Truth. When it is compared against photographs; it is definitely **not** the real Stone.

Christ said not for a generation (40 years) would be claim his Rightful Throne, the **British-Israel** Throne of David.

On 13/June/1988, 40 years from the **Fig Tree** putting forth its **new shoots** in 1948, Christ served a High Court Writ upon the British Parliament, at court in the City of Sheffield, where his new body had been born in **1948**, **as prophesied**, demanding that he be acknowledged by Parliament as the **Rightful** British-Israel King.

Just as happened **2000** years before, when he was **rejected** by the "House of Judah", Christ was **again** rejected but this time by the other branch of Jacob-Israel's children - the "House of Israel".

This then **condemned** the "House of Israel"; who had by their **rejection** of Christ **declared themselves** to be Christ's **enemies**, like the "House of Judah"; to be **punished** by God and turned into Christ's **footstool**. That punishment involves **defeat** and **slaughter** of both "Houses" at **Armageddon** and their **return** to **slavery again** (Deut. 28:68) as they were in Egypt and later in Assyria and Babylon (**soon**) because they **rejected The Covenant** and God's Laws and **Christ's Sovereignty**.

Christ said that **THIS generation** who were born in 1948 would see **all things** come to pass and the **setting up** of his "**Kingdom without End**", which would fill the **whole** earth.

Christ said, "A Prophet is **NEVER** accepted in his own land and by his own people and family."

The Scriptures tell us where Christ would go, in the Book of God's Prophet Isaiah, in chapter 33:-

33:15 He that walketh righteously, and speaketh uprightly; he that despiseth the gain by oppressions, that shaketh his hands from taking of bribes, that stoppeth his ears from hearing of bloodshed, and shutteth his eyes from seeing evil;

33:16 He shall dwell on high: his **place** of defence [shall be] the munitions (Fortress) of Rock: bread shall be given him; his waters [shall be] sure.

33:17 Thine eyes shall see the King in his beauty: they shall behold the land that is very far off (Israel).

This same location is confirmed by Sura 52 of the True Koran:-

- 1. By the **Mount of Revelation** [of Christ]- the Rock;
- 2. By a Decree inscribed [in Prophecy]
- 3. In a Scroll opened-up [Isaiah 33:16-17; 42:11-12];
- 4. By the much-frequented house;
- 5. By the Canopy Raised High [the Levanter-cloud];
- 6. And by the Ocean filled with Swell [the Atlantic];
- 7. Verily, the Doom from thy Lord will indeed come to pass;-
- 8. There is **none** can avert it:-

The Scriptures tell us that the rejected **King** will go to the Fortress of Rock (Gibraltar); where, in 1988, **he offered his life** to the sheep, hoping **they would be able to see** and recognise him; take him **seriously** and follow him to the **Fortress of Rock**, so that he could teach them **True Justice** and **Freedom** from oppression and from poverty under God's Laws and Economics; how to "Sing the New Song" (see my "Let the Inhabitants of The Rock sing The New Song" Booklet); **overcome** and **SURVIVE**:-

Isaiah 42:10 Sing unto the "I AM" the "New Song", [and] His praise from the "end of the earth", ye that go down to the sea, and all that is therein; the isles, and the inhabitants thereof.
42:11 Let the "wilderness" and the cities thereof lift up [their voice], the villages [that] darkness doth inhabit: let the inhabitants of the Rock sing, let them shout from the top of the mountains.

42:12 Let them give glory unto the "I AM", and declare His praise in the islands.

The New Song is the "Song of Moses" and the "Song of The Lamb" harmonized and synthesized into one "New Song" (Rev. 15:3) – http://jahtruth.net/nsong.htm.

The Song of Moses and the Song of The Lamb are the Old and the New Covenants, respectively, making the "New Song" that no man can learn **except the 144,000** that are redeemed, by the King **personally**, from the Earth (Rev. 14:3). "NO man (not ONE man) can come to the Father **except by ME**." (John 14:6).

The **Scriptures** confirm that Christ's **new** body will have a **NEW name** that he **alone** would know, until his **TRUE followers** numbering only 144,000 out of a total of 6,000,000,000 people would recognise **his** voice, accept his Sovereignty and follow **him** - "As the Times of Noah **will it be**, when the Son of Man is revealed" (Matt. 24:37-39)(Sura 52:1) and **only** a handful of people believed Noah, **EVERYONE** else **DIED** (note **well** Luke 19:27).

Revelation 19:12 His eyes [were] as a flame of fire, and on his head [were] many crowns (King of kings); and he had a NAME written, that NO man knew, but HE himself (ch. 2:17; 3:12).

2:17 He that hath an ear, let him hear what the Spirit saith unto the churches; To him that overcometh will I give to eat of the hidden manna, and will give him a white stone, and in the stone (Book of TRUTH*) a NEW name written, which no man knoweth saving he that receiveth [it] (metaphorically; "Written in Stone").

3:12 Him that **overcometh** will **I** make a pillar in the Temple of **my** God, and he shall go no more out: and **I** will write upon him the name of **my** God, and the **name** of the **city** of my God, [which is] **New Jerusalem**, which **cometh down** out of heaven (*a spaceship* - *see my* "Close Encounters of the Gibraltar Kind" Booklet – http://jahtruth.net/closeenc.htm) from **my** God: and [I will write upon him] **my** NEW **name**.

John 10:2 But he that entereth in by the door (**speaks the Truth**) is **The Shepherd** of the sheep. 10:3 To him the porter openeth; and the **sheep** hear his voice: and he calleth his **own** sheep by name, and leadeth **them** out.

10:4 And when he putteth forth his **own** sheep, he goeth before them, and **the sheep follow him**: for they **know** his voice.

10:5 And a stranger will they **not** follow, but will flee from him: for they know not the voice of strangers. 10:6 This **parable** spoke Jesus unto them: but they understood not what things they were which he spoke unto them.

10:7 Then said Jesus unto them again, Verily, verily, I say unto you, I am the door of the sheep.

10:8 ALL that ever came before me (priests and kings) are thieves and robbers: but the sheep did not hear them (but the goats did).

10:9 I am the door: **by ME** if any man enter in, he shall be **saved**, and shall go in and out, and find pasture. 10:10 The thief cometh not, **except** to steal, and to kill, and to destroy: I am come that they might have Life, and that they might have [it] more abundantly.

10:11 I am the Good Shepherd: the Good Shepherd giveth his life for the sheep.

10:12 But he that is an hireling, and **not** the Shepherd, whose own the sheep are **not**, seeth the wolf coming, and leaveth the sheep, and fleeth: and the wolf catcheth them, and scattereth the sheep.

10:13 The hireling fleeth, because he is an hireling, and careth **not** for the sheep. 10:14 **I am the Good Shepherd**, and know **my** [sheep], and am known **by mine**.

10:15 As the Father knoweth me, even so know I the Father: and I lay down my life for the sheep.

10:16 And other sheep I have (the "Lost" sheep [10 tribes] of the "House of Israel"), which are not of this fold (the "House of Judah" [2 tribes]): them also I must bring, and they shall hear my voice; and there shall be one fold, [and] ONE Shepherd (Ezekiel 37:22 and Genesis 48:10).

"And **he** had a **new name** written that **no man** knew but **he** himself" (Rev./Apoc. 19:12). "No man knoweth (his **new** name) saving he that receiveth [it - the white stone - Book] (Rev. 2:17)" (*in which it is written*). That white stone/Book is "The Way home or face The Fire" (http://jahtruth.net/wayad.htm) and only the 144,000 (Rev. 14:3) who hear and recognise **his** voice, accept his new name and identity and upon whom **he** writes **his NEW name** personally and whom he then teaches to overcome will survive, whilst the rest of mankind will be EXECUTED.

Matthew 25:32 And before him shall be gathered all nations: and he shall **separate** them one from another, as a shepherd divideth [his] sheep from the goats:

25:33 And he shall set the sheep on his right hand, but the goats (*those who* "act the goat") on the left. 25:34 Then shall the King say unto them on his right hand, Come, ye blessed of my Father, inherit the Kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world:

25:35 For I was an hungred, and ye gave me meat: I was thirsty, and ye gave me drink: I was a stranger, and

ye took me in:

25:36 Naked, and ye clothed me: I was sick, and ye visited me: I was in prison, and ye came unto me.

25:37 Then shall the righteous answer him, saying, Lord, when saw we thee an hungred, and fed [thee]? or thirsty, and gave [thee] drink?

25:38 When saw we thee a stranger, and took [thee] in? or naked, and clothed [thee]?

25:39 Or when saw we thee sick, or in prison, and came unto thee?

25:40 And the **King** shall answer and say unto them, Verily I say unto you, Inasmuch as ye have done [it] unto one of the least of these my brethren, ye have done [it] unto me.

25:41 Then shall he say also unto them on the left hand, Depart from me, **ye cursed**, into Everlasting Fire, prepared for the devil and **his** angels (**YOU** that are not **DOING** God's Will - John 8:44):

25:42 For I was an hungred, and ye gave me no meat: I was thirsty, and ye gave me no drink:

25:43 I was a stranger, and ye took me not in: naked, and ye clothed me not: sick, and in prison, and ye visited me not.

25:44 Then shall they also answer him, saying, Lord, when saw we thee an hungred, or athirst, or a stranger, or naked, or sick, or in prison, and did not minister unto thee?

25:45 Then shall he answer them, saying, Verily I say unto you, Inasmuch as ye did [it] not to one of the least of these, ye did [it] not to me.

25:46 And these shall go away into everlasting punishment: but the righteous into Life Eternal.

Zechariah 12 v 10

"And they (Jerusalem) shall look upon **ME** whom **they** pierced (whilst inside of the son of Mary body) and **they** (the Jews) **shall MOURN** for **HIM** (Jesus) as one mourneth for **his** only **son**, and shall be in bitterness for him, as one that is in bitterness for **HIS** [own] firstborn."


12:11 In that Day shall there **be** a great mourning in Jerusalem, as the mourning of Hadadrimmon in the Valley of Megiddon (as they **too** are **EXECUTED**).

The Valley of Megiddon is below the mountains of Megiddo - Har-Megiddo or in English - Armageddon - **ARMAGEDDON**.

TWO 2 comings NOT one. ME and HIM. The Lord God says so.

Same **SPIRIT** (God is **SPIRIT not human** and so is His Son) **TWO** bodies (**HIM** and **ME**) - **JAH** - Copyright © 1997.

I could easily go into much more detail, if necessary, but perhaps you have already arrived at Emmaus, by taking this short-cut, and have found your Rabboni and King? **NO-ONE** else but the King could possibly break the **Seals**, understand and fulfill the **Prophecies**, because Father told Daniel exactly that in Daniel 10:21, which I have already quoted, previously, and I also said so myself, in chapter 5, of my Revelation to John. JAH



I solemnly promise and swear to govern the Peoples of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and the Union of South Africa, Pakistan and Ceylon, and of my Possessions and the other Territories to any of them belonging or pertaining, according to their respective laws and customs.

I will to my power cause Law and Justice, in Mercy, to be executed in all my judgements.

I will to the utmost of my power maintain the Laws of God and the true profession of the Gospel. I will to the utmost of my power maintain in the United Kingdom the Protestant Reformed Religion established by law. And I will maintain and preserve inviolably the settlement of the Church of England, and the doctrine, worship, discipline, and government thereof, as by law established in England. And I will preserve unto the Bishops and Clergy of England, and to the Churches there committed to their charge, all such rights and privileges as by law do or shall appertain to them or any of them.

The things which I have here before promised,
I will perform and keep.
So help me God.

The British Coronation Oath

The Form and Order of Service that is to be performed and the Ceremonies that are to be observed in

The Coronation of Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II in the **Abbey Church of St. Peter**, Westminster, on Tuesday, the second day of June, 1953

I. The Preparation

In the morning upon the day of the Coronation early, care is to be taken that the Ampulla be filled with Oil for the anointing, and, together with the Spoon, be laid ready upon the Altar in the Abbey Church.

The LITANY shall be sung as the Dean and Prebendaries and the choir of Westminster proceed from the Altar to the west door of the Church.

The Archbishops being already vested in their Copes and Mitres and the Bishops Assistant in their Copes, the procession shall be formed immediately outside of the west door of the Church, and shall wait till notice be given of the approach of her Majesty, and shall then begin to move into the Church.

And the people shall remain standing from the Entrance until the beginning of the Communion Service.

II. The Entrance into the Church

The Queen, as soon as she enters at the west door of the Church, is to be received with this Anthem:

Psalm 122, 1–3, 6, 7.

I was glad when they said unto me:
We will go into the house of the Lord.
Our feet shall stand in thy gates:
O Jerusalem.
Jerusalem is built as a city:
that is at unity in itself.
O pray for the peace of Jerusalem:
they shall prosper that love thee.
Peace be within thy walls:
and plenteousness within thy palaces.

The Queen shall in the mean time pass up through the body of the Church, into and through the choir, and so up the steps to the Theatre; and having passed by her Throne, she shall make her humble adoration, and then kneeling at the faldstool set for her before her Chair of Estate on the south side of the Altar, use some short private prayers; and after, sit down in her Chair.

The Bible, Paten, and Chalice shall meanwhile be brought by the Bishops who had borne them, and placed upon the Altar.

Then the Lords who carry in procession the Regalia, except those who carry the Swords, shall come from their places and present in order every one what he carries to the Archbishop, who shall deliver them to the Dean of Westminster, to be placed by him upon the Altar.

III. The Recognition

The Archbishop, together with the Lord Chancellor, Lord Great Chamberlain, Lord High Constable, and Earl Marshal (Garter King of Arms preceding them), shall then go to the East side of the Theatre, and after shall go to the other three sides in this order, South, West, and North, and at every of the four sides the Archbishop shall with a loud voice speak to the People: and the Queen in the mean while, standing up by King Edward's Chair, shall turn and show herself unto the People at every of the four sides of the Theatre as the Archbishop is at every of them, the Archbishop saying:

Sirs, I here present unto you Queen ELIZABETH, your undoubted Queen: Wherefore all you who are come this day to do your homage and service, Are you willing to do the same?

The People signify their willingness and joy, by loud and repeated acclamations, all with one voice crying out,

GOD SAVE QUEEN ELIZABETH.

Then the trumpets shall sound.

IV. The Oath

The Queen having returned to her Chair (her Majesty having already on Tuesday, the fourth day of November, 1952, in the presence of the two Houses of Parliament, made and signed the Declaration prescribed by Act of Parliament), the Archbishop standing before her shall administer the Coronation Oath, first asking the Queen,

Madam, is your Majesty willing to take the Oath?

And the Queen answering,

I am willing,

The Archbishop shall minister these questions; and the Queen, having a book in her hands, shall answer each question severally as follows:

Archbishop: Will you solemnly promise and swear to govern the Peoples of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, the Union of South Africa, Pakistan and Ceylon, and of your Possessions and other Territories to any of them belonging or pertaining, according to their respective laws and customs?

Queen: I so

I solemnly promise so to do.

Archbishop: Will you to your power cause Law and Justice, in Mercy, to be executed in all your judgements?

Queen:

I will.

Archbishop: Will you to the utmost of your power MAINTAIN THE LAWS OF GOD and the true profession of the Gospel?

Will you to the utmost of your power maintain in the United Kingdom the Protestant Reformed Religion established by law?

Will you maintain and preserve inviolably the settlement of the Church of England, and the doctrine, worship, discipline, and government thereof, as by law established in England?

And will you preserve unto the Bishops and Clergy of England, and to the Churches there committed to their charge, all such rights and privileges, as by law do or shall appertain to them or any of them?

Queen:

All this I promise to do.

Then the Queen arising out of her Chair, supported as before, the Sword of State being carried before her, shall go to the Altar, and make her solemn Oath in the sight of [The Bible to be brought.] all the people to observe the premisses: laying her right hand upon the Holy Gospel in the great Bible (which was before carried in the procession and is now brought from the altar by the Archbishop, and tendered to her as she kneels upon the steps), and saying these words:

The things which I have here promised, I will perform, and keep. So help me God.

[And a Silver Standish.] Then the Queen shall kiss the Book and sign the Oath.

The Queen having thus taken her Oath, shall return again to her Chair, and the Bible shall be delivered to the Dean of Westminster.

V. The Presenting of the Holy Bible

When the Queen is again seated, the Archbishop shall go to her Chair; and the Moderator of the General Assembly of the Church of Scotland, receiving the Bible from the Dean of Westminster, shall bring it to the Queen and present it to her, the Archbishop saying these words:

Our gracious Queen:

to keep your Majesty ever mindful of the law and the Gospel of God as the Rule for the whole life and government of Christian Princes, we present you with this Book, the most valuable thing that this world affords.

And the Moderator shall continue: Here is Wisdom; This is the royal Law; These are the lively Oracles of God.

Then shall the Queen deliver back the Bible to the Moderator, who shall bring it to the Dean of Westminster, to be reverently placed upon the Altar. This done, the Archbishop shall return to the Altar.

wait and see them out of sight. The night watchman might do his rounds any time now, and already there were some pedestrians abroad. I looked at my watch to see the time, and it was only then I remembered that it had fallen from my wrist when we lifted the Stone from the Chair.

I did not bother to switch off the car lights, for subterfuge was now useless. I backed straight up the lane, and in my excitement nearly demolished the hoarding with the wing of the car. I had no very clear idea of what I was going to do, except that I was going to get the Stone into the car. It was three times as heavy as I was, and I have since seen strong men strain to move it,

but success was mine if only I could muster the strength.

The Stone was still lying where the other two had left it. I caught hold of one end, and dragged it to the car. It came without the slightest difficulty. I raised it up on one end, and gently lowered that end into the car. The car went down on its springs, and I thought it was going to beetle over on top of me. I seized the other end. It meant that I had to lift the whole weight until it passed top dead centre and I could lower it into the car. I think it went quite easily. I do not remember straining. I followed it in, and caught hold of it, and lifted it bodily on to the back seat, and covered it with Alan's coat.

Let the cynics laugh and Archbishops howl "Sacrilege!" but the hands of God were over mine when I lifted that Stone.

I drove from the lane as Andrew Hyslop, the night watchman, was telephoning the police to report his loss. I did not know

that then, but it would have made no difference.

I was a young man. I had stared into the cold eyes of defeat and seen them warm to lovely victory, but I was too elated to be proud. I shouted and sang. Let them take me now, and all Scotland was at my back. The evil luck which had dogged Scotland since Halidon was shattered, and she could enter on glorious rebirth. I was filled with a wild exultation which could have made me cry. I was tasting success, and something which was very near to divine glory. I remembered Napoleon's aphorism about God and battalions, and guessed that he knew much about battalions, but nothing about God.

I swung the car round the roundabout and across Whitehall on to Westminster Bridge. I reckoned that I had at most an hour and a half before the police could get their forces marshalled, and I felt certain that they would be stupid enough to

The Taking of the Stone of Destiny

are the people able, willing and eager to restore the Stone of Destiny to the keeping of His Majesty's officers:

That His Majesty's petitioners who have served him in peril and in peace, pledge again their loyalty to him, saving always their right and duty to protest against the actions of his Ministers if such actions are contrary to the wishes or the spirit of His Majesty's Scottish people.

In witness of the good faith of His Majesty's petitioners the following information concerning a watch left in Westminster Abbey on December 25th 1950 is appended—(1) the main spring of the watch was recently repaired; (2) the bar holding the right-hand wrist strap to the watch had recently been broken and soldered.

This information is given in lieu of signature by His Majesty's petitioners being in fear of apprehension.

And so we started to play games. I suppose there was really nothing else we could do, but the wording of that petition still makes me grue. It is impossible nowadays to convey the idolatry with which the Royal family were then regarded. Our present Queen never had a baby. She aye gave birth to a prince or a princess. Look at the Court circulars for the times and you will see what I mean. Thus when the King let his concern be known publicly, we had to go warily. His concern was only for what he regarded as the loss of Royal property. He wanted his thing back. Privately we learned that he had a superstitous fear that the loss portended the end of his dynasty. All this was so much nonsense, but we had to watch public opinion.

Dynasty or not, even the Scots were divided. There was jubilation at the return of the Stone, and vexation that the King was vexed, so I suppose that we had to do something to keep public opinion with us. Behind the formal, indeed grandiloquent wording of the Petition there lay a perfectly reasonable statement of our position. Keep the Stone in

ARRSA H



Judicial recusal

By Masood Ahmed I 14 October 2013

he doctrine of judicial recusal dictates that a judge may recuse himself from proceedings if he decides that it is not appropriate for him to hear a case listed to be heard by him. A judge may recuse himself when a party applies to him to do so. A judge must step down in circumstances where there appears to be bias or 'apparent bias'.

Judicial recusal is not then a matter of discretion. The test for determining apparent bias is this: if a fair-minded and informed observer, having considered the facts, would conclude that there was a real possibility that the judge was biased, the judge must recuse himself (see *Porter v Magill* [2002] 2 AC 357 at [102]). That test is to be applied having regard to all the circumstances of the case.

The doctrine is underpinned by important public policy reasons. The judiciary must ensure that it remains independent and that it is seen to be independent of any influence that might reasonably be perceived as compromising its ability to judge cases fairly and impartially.

As Arden LJ put it in the recent case of Mulugeta Guadie

Mengiste and Other v Endowment Fund for the Rehabilitation of Tigray and Others [2013] EWCA Civ 1003, 'to maintain society's trust and confidence, justice must not only be done but be seen to be done'. This note is only concerned with the Court of Appeal's consideration of the doctrine of judicial recusal in *Mulugeta*.

The claimants commenced proceedings against the defendants for claims in respect of an investment in Ethiopia. The defendants contended that the appropriate forum was Ethiopia and made an application to stay the English proceedings. The main question in the application was whether the claimants would receive a fair hearing in Ethiopia. The claimants' expert was an Ethiopian law expert who provided evidence at the hearing of the application. However, during cross-examination by the defendants' counsel and questioning by the judge, it was clear that the expert had failed to understand his duty as an expert.

Further, the evidence did not comply with Civil Procedure Rule 35. It was not surprising, therefore, that the judge severely criticised both the evidence which the claimants' expert provided at the hearing and the apparent failure of the claimants' solicitors to properly prepare the expert for trial. The judge also noted that it was clear that the expert did not understand that a wasted costs order could be made against him. The judge ordered a stay.

Following the hearing, the defendants' solicitors informed the claimants' solicitors that they would be making a wasted costs

order against them. The claimants' solicitors then wrote to the judge informing him that the claimants intended to make an application that the judge recuse himself. The claimants' solicitors failed to provide an explanation to the judge as to why they did not inform him earlier of their intention to make the application. The judge refused to recuse himself.

In his judgment the judge expressed concern about the late notice of the recusal application and repeated grounds for criticising the claimants' solicitors' conduct in relation to the expert evidence. Considering the authorities, the judge noted that there was an inevitable collision between the principle of a wasted costs order and an application for recusal because a wasted costs application could not be made unless there was some criticism of the party or his representatives. He held that the criticism would have to be in 'extreme and unbalanced terms' (per Lord Bingham in Locabail (United Kingdom) Ltd v Bayfield Properties Ltd [2000] QB 451), which was not a proper criticism of his conduct.

The judge also argued that the expert had not been properly prepared because of the claimants' solicitors' failure to advise him properly and, accordingly, the judge made a wasted costs order against the claimants' solicitors.

Arden LJ, giving the leading judgment, commented that in almost every case, the judge who heard the substantive application will be the right judge to deal with consequential issues as to costs, even if he made findings adverse to a party during the proceedings. However, there were exceptions to this

practice (see *Re Freudiana Holdings Ltd* 28 November 1995, Court of Appeal, unreported).

The fact that it might be difficult or even impossible for another judge to hear an application for costs does not mean that the principles for recusal should be in any way tailored. The same test applies. On this basis, Arden LJ rejected the judge's analysis that there is some conflict between the principles applying on a recusal application and an application for a wasted costs order based on criticisms made in a judgment.

Arden LJ held that this was an exceptional case and that here existed apparent bias from the facts and that the judge should have recused himself from hearing the wasted costs application. Arden LJ gave the following reasons for her conclusions.

- The judge's criticism was not necessary to enable the judge to evaluate the expert's evidence. The only issue to be decided was whether the expert's evidence should be accepted, evidence which the judge concluded was unreliable and inconsistent. The questions of why the expert's evidence was poor were relevant when it came to the issue of costs. Arden LJ was of the opinion that the judge's criticism was designed to ward off an application for a wasted costs order against the expert, when no such application was in fact made.
- The judge's failure to leave the door open for the possibility of some explanation when he had not heard evidence or

submissions from the claimants' solicitors gave rise to an impression of bias because it suggested that no explanation will be considered. The impression of bias was further confirmed by the making of findings of this nature when it can be foreseen that an application for a costs order, with serious consequences for the solicitors, may result.

 Arden LJ stated that she had 'the gravest difficulty' in following the judge's repeat and further criticism of the claimants' solicitors, who were not obliged to make the application any earlier than they did. While the judge drew the inference that this failure was tactical, it was difficult to see why it was not equally open to the explanation that they were waiting to see if an application was actually made and then needed time to consider how they should react.

Agreeing with the claimants' solicitors, Arden LJ held: 'The judge expressed a concern that there was no advocate to assist him but this obscured the real issue that he was also a judge in his own cause so far as the recusal application was concerned. In that very privileged position, the applicant for recusal must be given the benefit of the doubt. Because of the implications of this point I am inclined to agree with Mr McPherson, that if a party has grounds for appealing against a stage 1 order on the grounds of apparent bias this would form one of the exceptional cases in which such an appeal should lie (see *Crabtree v Ng* [2011] EWCA Civ 1455).' Applying the test in *Porter v Magill*, the judge should have recused himself from hearing the wasted costs application and the judge's ruling on the wasted costs application was set aside.

An application for judicial recusal will be exceptional and will require the applicant to demonstrate the existence of exceptional circumstances as in *Mulugeta*. On the extraordinary facts of *Mulugeta*, Arden LJ's judgment correctly upholds the public policy of maintaining the integrity of the judiciary and, at the same time, achieving fairness to the parties concerned.

Masood Ahmed, University of Leicester

MailOnline

Home News U.S. | Sport | TV&Showbiz | Australia | Femail | Health | Science | Money | Video | Travel | Fashion Finder

Login

Revealed: The secret report that shows how the Nazis planned a Fourth Reich ...in the EU

By ADAM LEBOR UPDATED: 22:30 GMT, 9 May 2009

View comments

1

The paper is aged and fragile, the typewritten letters slowly fading. But US Military Intelligence report EW-Pa 128 is as chilling now as the day it was written in November 1944.

The document, also known as the Red House Report, is a detailed account of a secret meeting at the Maison Rouge Hotel in Strasbourg on August 10, 1944. There, Nazi officials ordered an elite group of German industrialists to plan for Germany's post-war recovery, prepare for the Nazis' return to power and work for a 'strong German empire'. In other words: the Fourth Reich.



Plotters: SS chief Heinrich Himmler with Max Faust, engineer with Nazi-backed company I. G. Farben

The three-page, closely typed report, marked 'Secret', copied to British officials and sent by air pouch to Cordell Hull, the US Secretary of State,

Site Enter your s Q

Like

DailyNail

Follow

MailOnlina

Follow

DailyNail

+1

DailyMail

DON'T MISS

'I lost my mummy when I was very young too': Prince William comforts a little girl whose father died from cancer as he and Kate meet bereaved

children





'There's a new wart on her shrunken leg':



detailed how the industrialists were to work with the Nazi Party to rebuild Germany's economy by sending money through Switzerland.

They would set up a network of secret front companies abroad. They would wait until conditions were right. And then they would take over Germany again.

The industrialists included representatives of Volkswagen, Krupp and Messerschmitt. Officials from the Navy and Ministry of Armaments were also at the meeting and, with incredible foresight, they decided together that the Fourth German Reich, unlike its predecessor, would be an economic rather than a military empire - but not just German.

The Red House Report, which was unearthed from US intelligence files, was the inspiration for my thriller The Budapest Protocol.

The book opens in 1944 as the Red Army advances on the besieged city, then jumps to the present day, during the election campaign for the first president of Europe. The European Union superstate is revealed as a front for a sinister conspiracy, one rooted in the last days of the Second World War.

But as I researched and wrote the novel, I realised that some of the Red House Report had become fact.

Nazi Germany did export massive amounts of capital through neutral countries. German businesses did set up a network of front companies abroad. The German economy did soon recover after 1945.

The Third Reich was defeated militarily, but powerful Nazi-era bankers, industrialists and civil servants, reborn as democrats, soon prospered in the new West Germany. There they worked for a new cause: European economic and political integration.

Is it possible that the Fourth Reich those Nazi industrialists foresaw has, in some part at least, come to pass?

The Red House Report was written by a French spy who was at the meeting in Strasbourg in 1944 - and it paints an extraordinary picture.

The industrialists gathered at the Maison Rouge Hotel waited expectantly as SS Obergruppenfuhrer Dr Scheid began the meeting. Scheid held one of the highest ranks in the SS, equivalent to Lieutenant General. He cut an imposing figure in his tailored grey-green uniform and high, peaked cap with silver braiding. Guards were posted outside and the room had been searched for microphones.



Death camp: Auschwitz, where tens of thousands of slave labourers died working in a factory run by German firm I. G. Farben

There was a sharp intake of breath as he began to speak. German industry must realise that the war cannot be won, he declared. It must take steps in

Kylie Jenner followers spot a 'third nipple' on her knee as they accuse her of two epic photoshop fails Not picture perfect







'The hospital admitted it was their fault': Katie Price claims staff blunder caused son Harvey's brain damage as she says she has decided not to









preparation for a post-war commercial campaign.' Such defeatist talk was treasonous - enough to earn a visit to the Gestapo's cellars, followed by a one-way trip to a concentration camp.

But Scheid had been given special licence to speak the truth – the future of the Reich was at stake. He ordered the industrialists to 'make contacts and alliances with foreign firms, but this must be done individually and without attracting any suspicion'.

The industrialists were to borrow substantial sums from foreign countries after the war.

They were especially to exploit the finances of those German firms that had already been used as fronts for economic penetration abroad, said Scheid, citing the American partners of the steel giant Krupp as well as Zeiss, Leica and the Hamburg-America Line shipping company.

But as most of the industrialists left the meeting, a handful were beckoned into another smaller gathering, presided over by Dr Bosse of the Armaments Ministry. There were secrets to be shared with the elite of the elite.

Bosse explained how, even though the Nazi Party had informed the industrialists that the war was lost, resistance against the Allies would continue until a guarantee of German unity could be obtained. He then laid out the secret three-stage strategy for the Fourth Reich.

In stage one, the industrialists were to 'prepare themselves to finance the Nazi Party, which would be forced to go underground as a Maquis', using the term for the French resistance.

Stage two would see the government allocating large sums to German industrialists to establish a 'secure post-war foundation in foreign countries', while 'existing financial reserves must be placed at the disposal of the party so that a strong German empire can be created after the defeat'.

In stage three, German businesses would set up a 'sleeper' network of agents abroad through front companies, which were to be covers for military research and intelligence, until the Nazis returned to power.

'The existence of these is to be known only by very few people in each industry and by chiefs of the Nazi Party,' Bosse announced.

Each office will have a liaison agent with the party. As soon as the party becomes strong enough to re-establish its control over Germany, the industrialists will be paid for their effort and co-operation by concessions and orders.'

Enlarge

Emplosure No. 1 to despatch to. 19,489 of Nov. 27, 1044, from the Embassy at London, England.

SECRET

SUFFICIAL MEDIDIARRES

ALLIED EXTENDIFICATION FORCE
Office of Assistant Chief of Staff, 0-2

TEMPLIARRES REPORT NO. EM-Pa 188

SUBJECT: Flans of German industrialists to engage in underground activity after Germany's defeat; flow of capital to noutral countries.

Extraordinary revelations: The 1944 Red House Report, detailing 'plans of German industrialists to engage in underground activity'

The exported funds were to be channelled through two banks in Zurich, or via agencies in Switzerland which bought property in Switzerland for German concerns, for a five per cent commission.

sue

Surprise new couple! Selena Gomez and Bella Hadid's ex The Weeknd hug and kiss after dinner date Spotted hugging and kissing in public



'I'm scared!'
Linda Nolan,
57, undergo
first daytime
TV facelift
worth £6k as
she vows to
'finally do
something for
herself after a
miserable 10
years'



Ray J looks downcast as he leaves dental clinic... after claiming he'll sue CBB if he can't return to the house after hospital visit Kept a low profile



''Defamation and slander': Danielle Lloyd seeks legal action against 'pathological liar' ex Jamie O'Hara... before taking swipe at enemy Nicola McLean



'We've lost 11



The Nazis had been covertly sending funds through neutral countries for years.

Swiss banks, in particular the Swiss National Bank, accepted gold looted from the treasuries of Nazi-occupied countries. They accepted assets and property titles taken from Jewish businessmen in Germany and occupied countries, and supplied the foreign currency that the Nazis needed to buy vital war materials.

Swiss economic collaboration with the Nazis had been closely monitored by Allied intelligence.

The Red House Report's author notes: 'Previously, exports of capital by German industrialists to neutral countries had to be accomplished rather surreptitiously and by means of special influence.

'Now the Nazi Party stands behind the industrialists and urges them to save themselves by getting funds outside Germany and at the same time advance the party's plans for its post-war operations.'

The order to export foreign capital was technically illegal in Nazi Germany, but by the summer of 1944 the law did not matter.

More than two months after D-Day, the Nazis were being squeezed by the Allies from the west and the Soviets from the east. Hitler had been badly wounded in an assassination attempt. The Nazi leadership was nervous, fractious and quarrelling.

During the war years the SS had built up a gigantic economic empire, based on plunder and murder, and they planned to keep it.

A meeting such as that at the Maison Rouge would need the protection of the SS, according to Dr Adam Tooze of Cambridge University, author of Wages of Destruction: The Making And Breaking Of The Nazi Economy.

He says: 'By 1944 any discussion of post-war planning was banned. It was extremely dangerous to do that in public. But the SS was thinking in the long-term. If you are trying to establish a workable coalition after the war, the only safe place to do it is under the auspices of the apparatus of terror.'

Shrewd SS leaders such as Otto Ohlendorf were already thinking ahead.

As commander of Einsatzgruppe D, which operated on the Eastern Front between 1941 and 1942, Ohlendorf was responsible for the murder of 90,000 men, women and children.


A highly educated, intelligent lawyer and economist, Ohlendorf showed great concern for the psychological welfare of his extermination squad's gunmen: he ordered that several of them should fire simultaneously at their victims, so as to avoid any feelings of personal responsibility.

By the winter of 1943 he was transferred to the Ministry of Economics. Ohlendorf's ostensible job was focusing on export trade, but his real priority was preserving the SS's massive pan-European economic empire after Germany's defeat.

Ohlendorf, who was later hanged at Nuremberg, took particular interest in the work of a German economist called Ludwig Erhard. Erhard had written a lengthy manuscript on the transition to a post-war economy after Germany's defeat. This was dangerous, especially as his name had been mentioned in connection with resistance groups.

But Ohlendorf, who was also chief of the SD, the Nazi domestic security service, protected Erhard as he agreed with his views on stabilising the post-war German economy. Ohlendorf himself was protected by Heinrich

babies':
Corrie's Simon
Gregson
reveals wife
Emma's
miscarriage
hell... as cruel
trolls hit out
following
heartbreaking
stillbirth
storyline



Braless Chloe
Ferry leaves
very little to
the
imagination as
she flashes
her nipple
piercing
through tiny
vest top
during London
visit



'Nasty as she wanna be!'
Swimsuit-clad Lena Dunham poses legs akimbo as she reflects on her future in a Trump world and learning to not be liked by all



Alessandra
Ambrosio
flaunts her
pert posterior
and
washboard
abs in a
skimpy
turquoise
bikini as she
hits the beach
in Brazil



Take that, Sam! Louise Thompson defiantly poses in yet ANOTHER



Himmler, the chief of the SS.

Ohlendorf and Erhard feared a bout of hyper-inflation, such as the one that had destroyed the German economy in the Twenties. Such a catastrophe would render the SS's economic empire almost worthless.

The two men agreed that the post-war priority was rapid monetary stabilisation through a stable currency unit, but they realised this would have to be enforced by a friendly occupying power, as no post-war German state would have enough legitimacy to introduce a currency that would have any value.

That unit would become the Deutschmark, which was introduced in 1948. It was an astonishing success and it kick-started the German economy. With a stable currency, Germany was once again an attractive trading partner.

The German industrial conglomerates could rapidly rebuild their economic empires across Europe.

War had been extraordinarily profitable for the German economy. By 1948 - despite six years of conflict, Allied bombing and post-war reparations payments - the capital stock of assets such as equipment and buildings was larger than in 1936, thanks mainly to the armaments boom.

Erhard pondered how German industry could expand its reach across the shattered European continent. The answer was through supranationalism - the voluntary surrender of national sovereignty to an international body.

Germany and France were the drivers behind the European Coal and Steel Community (ECSC), the precursor to the European Union. The ECSC was the first supranational organisation, established in April 1951 by six European states. It created a common market for coal and steel which it regulated. This set a vital precedent for the steady erosion of national sovereignty, a process that continues today.

But before the common market could be set up, the Nazi industrialists had to be pardoned, and Nazi bankers and officials reintegrated. In 1957, John J. McCloy, the American High Commissioner for Germany, issued an amnesty for industrialists convicted of war crimes.

The two most powerful Nazi industrialists, Alfried Krupp of Krupp Industries and Friedrich Flick, whose Flick Group eventually owned a 40 per cent stake in Daimler-Benz, were released from prison after serving barely three years.

Krupp and Flick had been central figures in the Nazi economy. Their companies used slave labourers like cattle, to be worked to death.

The Krupp company soon became one of Europe's leading industrial combines.

The Flick Group also quickly built up a new pan-European business empire. Friedrich Flick remained unrepentant about his wartime record and refused to pay a single Deutschmark in compensation until his death in July 1972 at the age of 90, when he left a fortune of more than \$1billion, the equivalent of £400million at the time.

'For many leading industrial figures close to the Nazi regime, Europe became a cover for pursuing German national interests after the defeat of Hitler,' says historian Dr Michael Pinto-Duschinsky, an adviser to Jewish former slave labourers.

The continuity of the economy of Germany and the economies of post-war Europe is striking. Some of the leading figures in the Nazi economy

bikini in first swimsuit snap after brother's cheeky Instagram reveal

'There are fake b****es in here!': CBB's Stacy Francis explodes during fiery rows with Jasmine Waltz and Angie Best as she refuses to take part in new shopping task



'Stop being jealous b****es!' Love Island's Olivia Buckland slams her Instagram followers for accusing her of getting engaged to Alex Bowen for a 'magazine deal'



''My shirt was covered in blood!': Ed Sheeran FINALLY breaks his silence over Princess Beatrice sword incident as he reveals he wasn't aware of wound at first



Golden Globe winners and Night Manager co-stars Tom Hiddleston and Hugh



became leading builders of the European Union.'

Numerous household names had exploited slave and forced labourers including BMW, Siemens and Volkswagen, which produced munitions and the V1 rocket.

Slave labour was an integral part of the Nazi war machine. Many concentration camps were attached to dedicated factories where company officials worked hand-in-hand with the SS officers overseeing the camps.

Like Krupp and Flick, Hermann Abs, post-war Germany's most powerful banker, had prospered in the Third Reich. Dapper, elegant and diplomatic, Abs joined the board of Deutsche Bank, Germany's biggest bank, in 1937. As the Nazi empire expanded, Deutsche Bank enthusiastically 'Aryanised' Austrian and Czechoslovak banks that were owned by Jews.

By 1942, Abs held 40 directorships, a quarter of which were in countries occupied by the Nazis. Many of these Aryanised companies used slave labour and by 1943 Deutsche Bank's wealth had quadrupled.

Abs also sat on the supervisory board of I.G. Farben, as Deutsche Bank's representative. I.G. Farben was one of Nazi Germany's most powerful companies, formed out of a union of BASF, Bayer, Hoechst and subsidiaries in the Twenties.

It was so deeply entwined with the SS and the Nazis that it ran its own slave labour camp at Auschwitz, known as Auschwitz III, where tens of thousands of Jews and other prisoners died producing artificial rubber.

When they could work no longer, or were verbraucht (used up) in the Nazis' chilling term, they were moved to Birkenau. There they were gassed using Zyklon B, the patent for which was owned by I.G. Farben.

But like all good businessmen, I.G. Farben's bosses hedged their bets.

During the war the company had financed Ludwig Erhard's research. After the war, 24 I.G. Farben executives were indicted for war crimes over Auschwitz III - but only twelve of the 24 were found guilty and sentenced to prison terms ranging from one-and-a-half to eight years. I.G. Farben got away with mass murder.

Abs was one of the most important figures in Germany's post-war reconstruction. It was largely thanks to him that, just as the Red House Report exhorted, a 'strong German empire' was indeed rebuilt, one which formed the basis of today's European Union.

Abs was put in charge of allocating Marshall Aid - reconstruction funds - to German industry. By 1948 he was effectively managing Germany's economic recovery.

Crucially, Abs was also a member of the European League for Economic Co-operation, an elite intellectual pressure group set up in 1946. The league was dedicated to the establishment of a common market, the precursor of the European Union.

Its members included industrialists and financiers and it developed policies that are strikingly familiar today - on monetary integration and common transport, energy and welfare systems.

When Konrad Adenauer, the first Chancellor of West Germany, took power in 1949, Abs was his most important financial adviser.

Behind the scenes Abs was working hard for Deutsche Bank to be allowed to reconstitute itself after decentralisation. In 1957 he succeeded and he returned to his former employer.

Laurie catch up over dinner in LA Need a chat after that bizarre speech?

Is she borrowing his clothes? Charlotte Crosby steps out wearing the same juicy lips jumper as rumoured love interest Stephen Bear



Bling it on!
Jennifer
Lopez, 47, is
'gifted a \$100K
Tiffany
diamond
necklace by
new beau
Drake, 30,'
after dating for
only a few
weeks
What a gem!



Love triangle drama! Chris Brown holds hands with pretty mystery woman outside NYC club soon after ex Rihanna is spotted at

venue



Charlotte
McKinney
flaunts her
assets as she
and Joe Jonas
strip to
underwear for
Guess
campaign,
while Hailey
Baldwin
models latest
clothing



That same year the six members of the ECSC signed the Treaty of Rome, which set up the European Economic Community. The treaty further liberalised trade and established increasingly powerful supranational institutions including the European Parliament and European Commission.

Like Abs, Ludwig Erhard flourished in post-war Germany. Adenauer made Erhard Germany's first post-war economics minister. In 1963 Erhard succeeded Adenauer as Chancellor for three years.

But the German economic miracle - so vital to the idea of a new Europe was built on mass murder. The number of slave and forced labourers who died while employed by German companies in the Nazi era was 2,700,000.

Some sporadic compensation payments were made but German industry agreed a conclusive, global settlement only in 2000, with a £3billion compensation fund. There was no admission of legal liability and the individual compensation was paltry.

A slave labourer would receive 15,000 Deutschmarks (about £5,000), a forced labourer 5,000 (about £1,600). Any claimant accepting the deal had to undertake not to launch any further legal action.

To put this sum of money into perspective, in 2001 Volkswagen alone made profits of £1.8billion.

Next month, 27 European Union member states vote in the biggest transnational election in history. Europe now enjoys peace and stability. Germany is a democracy, once again home to a substantial Jewish community. The Holocaust is seared into national memory.

But the Red House Report is a bridge from a sunny present to a dark past. Joseph Goebbels, Hitler's propaganda chief, once said: 'In 50 years' time nobody will think of nation states.'

For now, the nation state endures. But these three typewritten pages are a reminder that today's drive towards a European federal state is inexorably tangled up with the plans of the SS and German industrialists for a Fourth Reich - an economic rather than military imperium.

• The Budapest Protocol, Adam LeBor's thriller inspired by the Red House Report, is published by Reportage Press.

Share or comment on this article

MOST WATCHED NEWS VIDEOS

Piers Morgan's rant on Store owner in mother who charged Connecticut pulls gun invoice for shoes on armed robber

Obama pays tribute to his family during his farewell speech

Female bullfighter is mounted by young bull

Paranormal activity?

You can tell I'm a lame duck': Barack Obama

''You're a very gentle lover!' **Andrew Garfield** explains THAT smooch with Ryan Reynolds... before giving Stephen Colbert a tender kiss too Nice to smooch you






'Her priority is her son's safe delivery': **Pregnant Stephanie Davis 'has** gone into labour with her first child' Ready for arrival



Westbrook can't stop smiling following visit to a travel agent... after revealing her nine suicide attempts in midst of recent relapse

daughter



Sylvester! Young girl spooked by Stallone's 'ahost' in her room receives a long model